

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



IFW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,457	02/13/2004	Martin Kammler	YOR920030623US1 (8728-671	8968	
759	90 07/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
Frank Chau, Esq.			NOVACEK, CHRISTY L		
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC Suite 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1900 Hempstead Turnpike			2822	<del> </del>	
East Meadow, NY 11554			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPEAAP
JUL 1 2 2005

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Office Assistant Commencer		10/779,4	57	KAMMLER ET AL	•	
Office Action Summary				Art Unit		
		Christy L.		2822		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	o cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 February 20	<u>04</u> .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is r	on-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	iayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		_			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election r	equirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\square$ a	accepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-, ,	<u>-</u>	` '		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.		
Attach	Me)					
Attachment  1) Notic	us) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	) <del>-</del> 152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/779,457

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the communication filed February 13, 2004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 8-11, 13-19, 25-27 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xie (US 5,888,885).

Regarding claim 1, Xie discloses forming a nucleation site including at least one surface or subsurface defect at a predetermined area of the substrate by implantation with ions and growing a quantum dot on the nucleation site (Fig. 4, 5; col. 2, ln. 40 – col. 3, ln. 25).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Xie discloses forming the quantum dot on the nucleation site by strained layer epitaxy (col. 3, ln. 5-45).

Regarding claims 8 and 25, Xie discloses annealing the substrate after implantation (col. 2, ln. 49-57).

Regarding claims 9 and 26, Xie discloses that the annealing is performed at a temperature in the range of 500-600°C (col. 2, ln. 55-56).

Regarding claim 10, Xie discloses that the substrate is a silicon substrate (col. 2, ln. 17).

Regarding claim 11, Xie discloses that the step of growing a quantum dot on the nucleation site includes growing a Ge island on the Si substrate by strained layer epitaxy (col. 3, ln. 5-45).

Regarding claims 13 and 29, Xie discloses encapsulating the quantum dot (col. 3, ln. 26-45).

Page 3

Regarding claims 14 and 30, Xie discloses that the step of encapsulating the quantum dot includes forming an overgrowth layer over the substrate and the quantum dot (col. 3, ln. 26-45).

Regarding claims 15 and 31, Xie discloses prepatterning the substrate to form at least one prepatterned area (col. 1, ln. 66 – col. 2, ln. 14).

Regarding claims 16 and 32, Xie discloses that the location of the nucleation site is determined based on the prepatterned area (col. 1, ln 66 – col. 2, ln. 14).

Regarding claim 17, Xie discloses forming a nucleation site at a predetermined area of a semiconductor device layer by implantation with ions, the nucleation site including at least one surface or subsurface defect at the predetermined area, and growing a quantum dot on the nucleation site (Fig. 4, 5; col. 2, ln. 40 – col. 3, ln. 25).

Regarding claim 19, Xie discloses that the semiconductor device layer is part of an optoelectronic device (col. 1, ln. 5-16; col. 3, ln. 46-65).

Regarding claim 27, Xie discloses that the substrate is a Si substrate and the step of growing a quantum dot on the nucleation site includes growing a Ge island on the Si substrate by strained layer epitaxy (col. 3, ln. 5-45).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-7 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie (US 5,888,885) in view of Kato (US 5,532,184).

Regarding claims 3 and 20, Xie discloses implanting ions into the substrate, but does not disclose what method is used to do the implantation. Like Xie, Kato discloses implanting ions into a substrate at predetermined areas to form locations at which quantum dots are to be grown. Kato teaches that these ions can successfully be implanted using a focused ion beam device (col. 4, ln. 54-63). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implant the ions of Xie using a focused ion beam device as taught by Kato because Xie does not disclose any particular implantation method and Kato teaches that a focused ion beam device can successfully be used for the purpose of implanting ions at predetermined areas of a substrate where quantum dots are to be formed.

Regarding claims 4 and 21, Xie, in one embodiment, discloses implanting Ge ions, but Xie does not limit the type of ions that can be implanted. Like Xie, Kato discloses implanting ions into a substrate at predetermined areas to form locations at which quantum dots are to be grown. Kato teaches that these ions can successfully be implanted using gallium or silicon ions (col. 4, ln. 59-61; col. 6, ln. 26-30). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use gallium, silicon or any other non-conductive ions big enough to form defects in the substrate for the implantation disclosed by Xie because Xie does not limit the type of ions that can be implanted and Kato teaches that a variety of ions including gallium and silicon can be successfully used to implant the substrate.

Art Unit: 2822

Regarding claims 5, 6, 22 and 23, Xie discloses that if germanium ions are implanted, they are implanted at an energy of 50keV with a dosage of 10<sup>16</sup> ions/cm<sup>2</sup> (col. 4, ln. 18-21). Xie does not disclose implanting gallium ions. As discussed above in reference to claims 4 and 21, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use gallium ions to implant the substrate of Xie as taught by Kato. Kato teaches that the gallium ions can be implanted at a beam energy of 10-300keV, a beam current of 3-500pA, and a dosage of 10<sup>11</sup>-10<sup>15</sup> ions/cm<sup>2</sup> (col. 6, ln. 45-47). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use routine experimentation to determine an optimal length of exposure time of the implantation process of Xie, depending upon the exact beam energy, current and dosage of ions implanted because such variables of art recognized importance are subject to routine experimentation and discovery of an optimum value for such variables is obvious. See *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 7 and 24, Xie discloses that the nucleation site includes a spot formed on the substrate, but does not disclose the diameter of the spot. Like Xie, Kato discloses implanting ions into a substrate at predetermined areas to form locations at which quantum dots are to be grown. Kato teaches that these ions can successfully be implanted using a beam width of 2-50nm wide, with the beam size corresponding to the width of the nucleation site (col. 5, ln. 12-16; col. 6, ln. 45-48). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the nucleation site of Xie using the beam size and, hence, the nucleation site diameter size, taught by Kato because Xie does not disclose any particular nucleation site diameter and Kato teaches that a nucleation site of 2-50nm wide can successfully nucleate quantum dot growth.

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 12 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie (US 5,888,885) in view of Fukushima et al. (US 6,351,007).

Regarding claims 12 and 28, Xie discloses forming a Ge island by epitaxial growth at a temperature of 550°C, but Xie does not disclose the Ge precursor gas used, nor the pressure under which the reaction takes place (col. 4, ln. 25-33). Like Xie, Fukushima discloses growing a Ge island by epitaxial growth. Fukushima teaches that the Ge island can be successfully grown by using a precursor of digermane gas at a temperature range of 550-600° at a pressure of 10<sup>-6</sup> torr (col. 6, ln. 2-15; col. 6, ln. 50-60; col. 10, ln. 62 – col. 11, ln. 4; col. 18, ln. 17-29). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Ge growing conditions taught by Fukushima to grow the Ge island of Xie because Fukushima teaches that by using this Ge growth method, a Ge quantum structure of the desired size can be uniformly formed with high reproducibility (col. 17, ln. 49 – col. 18, ln. 53).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,457

Art Unit: 2822

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN June 27, 2005

TECHNOMISSION STREET STORY OF THE STREET STREET STORY OF THE STREET STORY OF THE STREET ST

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | KAMMLER ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,351,007	02-2002	Fukushima et al.	257/314
	В	US-5,888,885	03-1999	Xie, Ya-Hong	438/493
	С	US-5,532,184	07-1996	Kato, Yoshimine	438/172
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-		·	
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	TOREIGN TATENT DOCUMENTS					
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	MONTATENT BOCOMENTS						
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)					
	U						
	٧						
	W						
	x						

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization Bldg./
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

RECEIVER

USPTO MAIL GENTER JUL 1 I 2005

Je

TC2800

P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS